COLUMBIA BUSINESS SCHOOL

Decision Models

Decision modeling refers to the use of mathematical or scientific methods to determine an allocation of scarce resources which improves or optimizes the performance of a system.

What is Decision Modeling?

The terms *operations research* and *management science* are also used to refer to decision modeling.

Lecture 1

- Syllabus Overview
- Introduction to Decision Models
- Bland Brewery Linear Programming Model
- Spreadsheet Optimization
- Summary and Preparation for next class

 Real World System
 Formulation
 Decision Model

 Implementation
 Deduction

 Real World Conclusions
 Interpretation

Decision Modeling Process

Applications of Decision Models

A sample of *systems* to which decision models have been applied include:

- Financial systems
 - Portfolio optimization, security pricing (e.g., options, mortgage-backed securities), cash flow matching (e.g., pension planning and bond refunding)
 - Example: LibertyView Capital Management uses a spreadsheet optimization model developed by a 1995 Columbia MBA to hedge bond investments using stock and options

• Production systems

- ▷ oil, steel, chemical, and many other industries
- Example: Citgo uses linear programming to improve refining operations. Total benefit: approximately \$70 million annually.

Applications of Decision Models (continued)

- Distribution systems
 - ▷ airlines, paper, school systems, and others
 - Example: Westvaco, a Fortune 200 paper company, uses linear programming to optimize its selection of motor carriers. The result: 3–6% savings on trucking costs of \$15 million annually. This work was done by a 1992 Columbia MBA.
- Marketing systems
 - sales force design, forecasting new product sales, telecommunications strategies, brand choice, merchandising strategies
- Graduate school admissions
 - Example: The director of CBS admissions uses linear programming to aid in the admissions process.

References: The journal *Interfaces*, and the book *Excellence in Management Science Practice*, by Assad, Wasil, and Lilien, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ (both are in the business school library).

Overview of Decision Models



Bland Brewery Decision Problem

Consider the situation of a small brewery whose ale and beer are always in demand but whose production is limited by certain raw materials that are in short supply. The scarce ingredients are corn, hops, and barley malt. The recipe for a barrel of ale calls for the ingredients in proportions different from those in the recipe for a barrel of beer. For instance, ale requires more malt per barrel than beer does. Furthermore, the brewer sells ale at a profit of \$13 per barrel and beer at a profit of \$23 per barrel. Subject to these conditions, how can the brewery maximize profit?

Main solution tools

- Optimization
 - Linear programming, Integer programming, Nonlinear programming
- Simulation



Bland Brewery Model

What if Bland decides to produce all ale? Then

- $\circ~$ their corn supply limits production to at most 480/5 = 96 barrels,
- their hops supply limits production to at most 160/4 = 40 barrels, and
- their malt supply limits production to at most 1190/35 = 34 barrels.

Therefore, they can produce only 34 barrels of ale, which makes a profit of $34 \times \$13 = \442 .

What if Bland decides to produce all beer? Then

- their corn supply limits production to at most 480/15 = 32 barrels,
- their hops supply limits production to at most 160/4 = 40 barrels, and
- their malt supply limits production to at most 1190/20 = 59.5 barrels.

Therefore, they can produce only 32 barrels of beer, which makes a profit of $32 \times \$23 = \736 .

Is there a better production plan? One way to simplify the computations is to set up a spreadsheet.

Cell F5: =SUMPRODUCT(C4:D4,C5:D5)

		10 11		1 x	100							
A B	C	D	E	E F	G	н	1	100	A	8	C	D
1 BLAND XLS	Bland Breve	ery Produc	diot Proble	m				1	BLAND X	s	Etand Brown	Wy Prod
2 3 Product	Ale	Beer		Total	>			2	Product		Ale	Bee
d Elarrels to Produce	5	10		Profit					Barrets to	Produce	4	10
6 Proft per Barrel	\$13	\$23	1		1				Profit per		\$13	\$23
8				20 - E				. 6				
7	Product Ingr	ed ent Res			-			7			Producting	eckent R
			Total		Guantity			9	hyuder	2 C - 1	4.40	Bea
9 Ingredients 10 Com (be)	Ne	Eeer 15	Usage		Available: 480				Condbs		5	15
11 Hops (025)	4	d b			150				Hops (ogs		-4	
12 Mat (bs)	35	20			1190		-	112	Mait (Irs)		25	20
13	1.0				1100			12	<u>.</u>			10
14								14				
and the second se							-			(Sec. 7.9	www.com	Same 2
HIT FOR BUSIES	hant? / Shouth /		ee6.231+1				110		edy.			1
Ready		Ser-D.	-	And and a state of	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	and the second second	100 100					7

Figure 1. The preliminary spreadsheet BLAND.XLS



Figure 2. The spreadsheet BLAND.XLS with formulas

De Est yee hee	e fyna 306 Dan y 11 - n / U		s. [1] al.	and the second second
				া তাল
11 T	BLAND XLS	-	8 6	HI
2 Product tax 4 Barrels to F br 5 Profit per 8	n Parasolon Paratol dis 2º gas 1º Mg 1º g Chargegictol Institution Cardinans	540 = 0 	Sector 1	ity bla 10
11 Hops (ccs) 12 Mat (bs) 13 14	35	20 375		50
e(e(e(e), Flood / Sheet Driver	/ Sheet) / Sheeti / Sheeti San	7.5kee6.731+1	the state and	1 11

Figure 3. The Solver Parameters dialog box

A description of the Excel spreadsheet optimizer is given in the reading "An Introduction to Spreadsheet Optimization using Excel."

DI.	stal alt			_			and the second division of the second divisio		
							and the second se	1	
<u></u>			0.315	and the loss		MIN.	2		_
20	A	R	8	0			. 6.	н	T
1	ELAND X	Solver Passar	(ers						-
2		In Tape Cat	1518			Sake			
3	Product	Easts (F.)	Are 17 144	C the .	- F	S STONE			
4	Elarrels to F	By Changing C		Same and					
5	Profit per 8	TEN ION			(Deer	4			
đ.		Subsect to the l	Checkaster.			Dataset	1		
7		TEDOLEDS		1/2	AM.	1	-		
8	1	Renam:	-0			10000	18ty		
	Ingredients				Dongs.	Base	100 March 100		
	Cort (bs)				-J Date	1.14	0.0		
	Hops (ozs).		57.25	100			50		
	Mat (bs)		35	20	980	<=	1190		
13									
14									

Figure 4. The *Solver Parameters* dialog box with constraints added

1 2

Figure 5. The *Solver Options* dialog box

1	ie Eik Yeer joort fyr *) [13	and the second second		and the second second	96 N . [গালা চন		1#1= 70-1
n			_		_	and the second	10	Ingl
1000							100	100
-		ANDING	Contract (Sec		DAL N			_
386	AB	C	D	E	1 F	G	н	
1	840035	Band Breve	iry Ptocluc	Sot Problem	n .			-
2								
3	Product	Ale	Bear		Total			
4	Elamets to Produce:	.12	28		Profit			
5	Profit per Barrel	\$13	\$23		\$800			
87	and the second							
7		Product Ingr	edient Rec					
0				Total		Guentity		
	Ingredients	Ale	Deer	Usage		Available		
	Corr (be)	- ÷.	15	400		400		
	Hops (ozs)	4	4	160		160		- 8
	Mat (bs)	- 35	.20	980		1190		
13								
14								
	station and constraints		10.00					ыð
10.00	[1] FQ, Florid 2 Street 27 Street fp	and the set of	Seets J. Ste Ears-D	H6231+1				10

Figure 6. The spreadsheet after optimizing





Bland Brewery LP - Standard Notation

Decision Variables:

Let A = # of barrels of ale to produce, and

B = # of barrels of beer to produce.

Note: Use suggestive (mnemonic) variable names for readability.

Bland Brewery Linear Program

max	13A + 23B	(Profit)
subject to:		
(Corn)	$5A + 15B \leq$	480
(Hops)	$4A + 4B \leq$	160
(Malt)	$35A+20B~\leq$	1190
(Nonnegativity)	$A, B \ge$	0

Terminology

Feasible and Infeasible Solutions

A production plan (A, B) which satisfies all of the constraints is called a *feasible solution*. For example, in the Bland Brewery LP, the solution (A = 10, B = 10) is feasible. The production plan (A = 40, B = 10) is not feasible, i.e., it is *infeasible* because the hops and malt constraints are *violated*.

Optimal Solution

For a maximization (respectively, minimization) problem, an *optimal solution* is a feasible solution that has the largest (respectively, smallest) objective function value among all feasible solutions.

The optimal solution for the Bland Brewery production model is (A = 12, B = 28). This means that Bland's optimal production plan is to produce 12 barrels of ale and 28 barrels of beer. The optimal objective function value is \$800.

Assumptions in a Linear Program

- Continuity: the decision variables are continuous, i.e., fractional values are allowed.
- Proportionality: for example, it takes twice as much hops to make twice as much beer or ale; there are no economies of scale.
- Additivity: profit is the *sum* of the profit contributions from ale and beer.

In short, the objective function and constraints must be *linear*. For example, 13A + 23B is a linear function of *A* and *B*. The functions $13A^2 + 23AB$ and $\log(A) + \cos(B)$ are *nonlinear functions*. The function max(*A*, 0) is *not differentiable* at *A* = 0 and =IF(*A* < 5,0,10) is a *discontinuous* function.

Allowable variations:

- Objective function can be *maximized* or *minimized*.
- $\circ~$ Constraints can be " \geq ," " \leq ," or "=."
- Noninteger or integer coefficients and righthand sides are allowed.
- Negative or positive coefficients and righthand sides are allowed.

Summary

- Understand LP terminology: decision variables, objective function, constraints, feasible and infeasible solutions, optimal solution
- Formulate simple linear programs
- Solve simple linear programs in a spreadsheet

Preparation for next class

- Formulate and solve the "Shelby Shelving" case (in the readings book or on pp.68-69 in the W&A text). Prepare to discuss the case in class, but do not write up a formal solution.
- Readings: "An Introduction to Spreadsheet Optimization Using Excel" in the readings book.
 Read Chapter 1 and Chapter 2.1–2.4, 2.6, 2.8 and Chapter 4.1, 4.2 in the W&A text.
- Optional readings: "OR Brews Success for San Miguel" and "Logistics Steps Onto Retail Battlefield" in the readings book.